

Sights of Insight. Meteorological Knowledge between Observation and Theory

Vol. in Brill's series "Intersections: Interdisciplinary Studies in Early Modern Culture"

Deadline: Jun 15, 2023

Ulrike Gehring, Universität Trier

Sights of Insight. Meteorological Knowledge between Observation and Theory (CfA).

Ed. by Ulrike Gehring, Andreas Lammer, and Claus Zittel.

Meteorological phenomena are complex sets of causal interaction and interdependent conditions, themselves incessantly shifting. While some of these can be observed and described in detail, many cannot be examined directly. Too far away is the rainbow, too transitory is the flash of lightning, too quickly travelling is even the cloud. Those in history who tried to grasp the *meteôra* and their causes often resorted to hypotheses or openly acknowledged the intrinsic difficulty of their endeavours.

In meteorology, the epistemological issue of inferring universal knowledge from individual observations is often a trap: even if someone could compare two or more storms, flashes, raindrops, or rainbows, which occurred at one place, no one could be sure that the conditions elsewhere led to the same result, e.g., at the coast compared to the city, a mountain peak compared to the woods. Hence, one commonly finds in literature both the claim that local climate depends on topographical conditions and the advice to study local conditions before drawing inferences from the particular to the universal.

As events, meteorological phenomena are singular due to the high complexity of their conditions and dispositions that lead to their formation. They are not merely influenced but formed by their regional conditions and prefigured by the location of their observation. It was modern instruments and developments in communication technology, among other things, that increasingly helped to escape this trap. In pre-modern times, however, such assistance was not available; other means had to be sought to take up the challenge.

Nonetheless, the number of variously trained, or self-proclaimed, weather experts has always been considerable: depending on their interests or administrative assignments, chroniclers recorded selected cases of extreme events; seafarers captured changes in the local weather in their log-books; farmers noted their observations regarding their lands and regions; artists drew the weather conditions they had observed on a given day over the low horizon of the sea aided by memory, sketches, and scribbled notes. The list could go on.

At the juncture between the meteorological phenomenon as an explanandum, the record as an interpretandum, and the emerging theory as an explanans, natural philosophers throughout history referred to, and actively sought, the expertise of eyewitnesses, i.e., of professionals, practitioners, and artists, as their observations and experience had a bearing on the theoretical account.

Yet, although the transition from apprehending to comprehending, from experiencing to explicating could appear to be seamless in meteorological history, contributors were dependent on transferring their observed singular events into a systematic context by abstracting from the vantage point of their own individual location: the open sea, the summit, the coast, the harbour, or also the studiolo, the library, the atelier, the cloister, or the cathedra – each of these places is considered a site of insight that influenced, prefigured, shaped, and determined in its own very particular way the act of understanding meteorological phenomena.

The point of departure of this interdisciplinary book project on the history of meteorology is the premise that the observation and explanation of meteorological phenomena were intrinsically tied to singular events and places, and that the mere nature of the meteôra made it difficult to generalise the findings, to forge a scientifically adequate theory. The book project therefore aims to identify sites where persons performed individual or systematic weather observations and focus on the ways in which their practices as well as the resulting insights are related to the geographical or architectural site where they were conducted and gathered.

By “practice” we intentionally mean a wide range of operations and activities relevant to the acquisition of meteorological knowledge and experience including observation (with or without instruments), description, teaching, depiction, recording, painting, learning, and reading alongside building and navigating (at mobile sites such as ships and vessels), sowing and hunting (e.g. crops and animals), and others. While further “hotspots” of insight that exerted a similar influence on the meteorological reflection are to be identified, exchanges between or among these, i.e., the transition and transmission of data from one place to another, as well as conducive and/or detrimental effects, are likewise welcome avenues of investigation. Another key element is the conviction that empirical observations and artistic representations of local meteorological events in literature, art, or theatre have the same explanatory potential as natural philosophical models or scientific experiments. That this is, indeed, the case will be shown not merely by reconstructing the exchange between natural philosophers, artists, and practitioners, but by relating the propria of their locations of activity and their sites of insight.

The temporal framework of the book project deliberately goes beyond the early modern period and includes ancient, late antique, and medieval perspectives of the Latin and Arabic world as well as “sites of intellection” in the 18th century, when meteorology underwent a fundamental methodological renewal. It will showcase and scrutinise different forms of written and pictorial representations of, and reflections on, meteorological phenomena as attempts of scientific explanation and understanding, analysed with jointly developed methods from the history of philosophy, art, and literature.

Aiming at a more nuanced understanding of developments within the history of science beyond common temporal boundaries, the focus will be on two complementary processes of transmission: those that transfer ancient ideas into early modern concepts of knowledge, and those that give rise to new insight through their written and/or pictorial forms

Potential questions to be addressed may include, but are not limited to, the following: Which sites of meteorological knowledge production can be identified over the centuries?/ How do these sites affect or influence knowledge, observation, or the form of recording and notation?/ Are there particular sites and locations that prefigure to what extent obtained knowledge gains acceptance in one community (or other communities)?/ Are there unique features of certain sites that make the

obtained or taught knowledge special? Can such knowledge be transmitted or transferred to other locations?/ Which locations support or inhibit the transfer of meteorological knowledge?/ Which sites of meteorological knowledge feature themselves in texts, images, or maps?/ What relations between site and format can be discerned?/ Which sites of meteorological knowledge production are literary or visual fiction?/ Which sites “archive” meteorological knowledge? etc.

Potential sites that might be worth investigating may include, but are not limited to, the following: atelier, studio/ boat, ship, vessel/ sea, harbour, port/ shipyard, dock/ mountain, summit/ coast, forest, garden/ studiolo, office, scriptorium/ laboratory/ observatory/ cave/ library/ cloister, abbey, convent/ cathedra, academic chair, lecture hall etc.

Please submit abstracts up to 300 words to the volume editors, by May 1, 2023: andreas.lammer@ru.nl; claus.zittel@ts.uni-stuttgart.de, gehring@uni-trier.de (decisions made by May 15, 2023).

Full papers will have to be sent by November 1, 2023 with final decisions made by May, 2024.

Reference:

CFP: Sights of Insight. Meteorological Knowledge between Observation and Theory. In: ArtHist.net, Mar 5, 2023 (accessed Dec 7, 2025), <<https://arthist.net/archive/38708>>.