

Img Journal, Issue 03: Remediating Distances

Deadline: Jul 15, 2020

Matteo Treleani & Francesco Zucconi

Issue Curators: Matteo Treleani and Francesco Zucconi

Abstract: 15 July

Abstract acceptance: 30 July

Full article: 30 September

Full article acceptance: 15 October

Publication: 15 November

To “bring things spatially and humanly closer” (Benjamin, 1939) is a social desire which the technical reproduction of art aims to satisfy. Media can thus be conceived as technical forms capable of modulating physical distance. Each process of remediation (Bolter & Grusin, 1999) is an attempt to listen to, see or experience something that is absent. Some media propose to bridge the distance between two or more remote subjects, while others mark distances, underlining the gap between here and elsewhere. Finally, others still –such as immersive technologies– promise to cancel distances and mediation itself.

The obligation of social distancing, imposed over the last few months, has subjected a large number of social, cultural, working and educational practices to digital remediation. During a pandemic, as well as in normal conditions, each practice is the result of mediations that follow or prescribe social norms. However, social and physical distancing has standardized these mediations, tying them to a series of forms of reproduction, remediation and renunciation. Digital media have therefore performed the functions of community glue as well as biological and social “immunization” (Esposito, 2020). The tension between the trend towards the ubiquity of media experience and the construction of new barriers thus becomes a daily concern that deserves to be investigated. Opening a reflection on such issues requires jointly addressing the investigation of techniques, media and the forms of visual culture (Pinotti & Somaini, 2016; Cometa, 2016).

Art and aesthetic theory hold that the relationships between distance and proximity are regulated by formal ‘dispositifs’ and compositional choices. While some research has studied the functions of the “frame” (Stoichita, 1999), others have focused on the “effects of presence” (Marin, 1994) that are put at stake in every representation. But “screens” (Carbone, 2016), and thus any “technology of sensitivity” (Montani, 2014) stimulate the spectator’s imagination, leading him to explore places near and far: they detach and connect, separate and join, screen and reveal.

The mutations of technique also contribute to structuring our experience. From the invention of writing to digital devices, technology has influenced the perception of space and time (Stiegler, 2018; Bachimont, 2010). While spatial distance is thus obviously at issue, temporal distance is also concerned by media techniques. The recent industrialization of traces (Jeanneret, 2020) can therefore be seen as a tendency to compress or decompress spatial as well as temporal dis-

tances (Treleani, 2017).

The process of remediation is therefore charged with environmental implications. The fluidity between current media helps to modulate spaces (Eugeni, 2015), and reconfigures the public or private environment in which the spectator or user finds himself. In this sense, any attempt to remediate distances can entail the mutation of the representation devices (Luigini, 2019), and seems to coincide with a “relocation” of the experience (Casetti, 2015). But talking about remediation in the pandemic context also means dealing with the protocols of ‘domestic confinement’ and with the practices of imposition and transgression of boundaries in many cases controlled by media devices. It is therefore interesting to reflect on “digital culture” (Doueih, 2011) as a whole complex which structures our space according to different “logics of authority” (Vitali Rosati, 2018).

This issue of *Img* journal aims to question the dialectic between ‘distance’ and ‘proximity’ in the configurations and reconfigurations made possible by new media from a long-term historical and theoretical perspective. As Carlo Ginzburg (1998) points out, within Western culture the term ‘distance’ takes on both a concrete and a metaphorical meaning and its social, ethical and political implications need, today more than ever, to be investigated.

It will therefore first of all be a question of recounting, describing and analyzing the multiple forms of experimentation that followed the requirements of physical and social distancing, as well as modulation of proxemics, in the months of maximum spread of the pandemic. Contributors can explore the mutual adjustment between practices, constraints, hybridizations and “braconnages” (de Certeau, 1990) aimed at adjusting the restrictions of technical and social protocols.

Secondly, this is the occasion to reflect, relying on an archaeological approach, on present and past experimentations that have considered distance and presence as a media effect; on the emotional component of distance; on social distancing as a biopolitical technology and thus on the implications in terms of community and immunity of visual culture (Zucconi, 2018); on the relations between artistic field and geographical space (Bruno, 2002); on media as transport through time and space (Somaini, Grignard & Rebecchi, 2020).

Interventions may include (not exhaustive list) artistic, media, communicative, pedagogical, psychological, social and cultural fields and come from different disciplines: philosophy, aesthetics, history, anthropology, sociology, education, psychology, semiotics, theory of arts, architecture, urban studies, media studies, performance studies, visual studies, studies on perception-action and emotions.

In addition to the types of documents accepted (essays, reviews and position papers) creative and artistic presentations with theoretical background, are welcome.

References:

- Bachimont, B. (2010). *Le sens de la technique*. Paris, FR: Les belles lettres.
- Bolter, J-D., & Grusin, R. (1999). *Remediation. Understanding New Media*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Bruno, G. (2007). *Atlas of Emotion: Journeys in Art, Architecture, and Film*. New York, NY: Verso Books.
- Casetti, F. (2015). *The Lumière Galaxy. Seven Keywords for the Cinema to Come*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.

- Carbone, M. (2016). *Philosophie-écrans. Du cinéma à la révolution numérique*. Paris, FR: VRIN.
- Cometa, M. (2016). *Archeologie del dispositivo*. Cosenza, IT: Pellegrini.
- De Certeau, M. (1990). *L'invention du quotidien. Arts du faire*. Paris, FR: Gallimard.
- Doueihi, M. (2011). *Digital Cultures*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Esposito, R. (2020). *Immunitas: protezione e negazione della vita*. Torino, IT: Einaudi.
- Eugeni, R. (2015). *La condizione postmediale*. Brescia, IT: La Scuola.
- Ginzburg, C. (1998). *Occhiacci di legno. Nove riflessioni sulla distanza*. Milano, IT: Feltrinelli.
- Jeanneret, Y. (2020). *La fabrique de la trace*. London, UK: ISTE.
- Luigini, A. (2019). The sheet, the screen and the digital stereoscope. Or the mirror, the inverted retina and the end of the representation. In P. Belardi (Ed.), *Riflessioni: l'arte del disegno/il disegno dell'arte*. Roma, IT: Gangemi.
- Marin, L. (1994). *De la représentation*, Paris, FR: Gallimard-Le Seuil.
- Montani, P. (2014). *Tecnologie della sensibilità. Estetica e immaginazione interattiva*. Milano, IT: Raffaello Cortina.
- Pinotti, A., & Somaini, A. (2016). *Cultura visuale. Immagini, sguardi, media, dispositivi*. Torino, IT: Einaudi.
- Somaini, A., Grignard E., & Rebecchi M. (2020). *Time Machine. Cinematic Temporalities*. Milano, IT: Skira.
- Stiegler, B. (2018). *La technique et le temps*. Paris, FR: Fayard.
- Stoichita, V. (1999). *L'Instauration du tableau: métapeinture à l'aube des temps modernes*. Genève, CH: Droz.
- Treleani, M. (2014). *Mémoires audiovisuelles*. Montréal, CA: Presses de l'Université de Montréal.
- Vitali Rosati, M. (2018). *On Editorialization. Structuring Space and Authority in the Digital Age*. Amsterdam, NL: Institute of Network Cultures.
- Zucconi, F. (2018). *Displacing Caravaggio: Art, Media, and Humanitarian Visual Culture*. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.

Instruction for sending abstract and full paper are available on www.img-network.it/img-journal/

Before submitting contributions please pay attention to the editorial guidelines available on the journal web site.

Reference:

CFP: *Img Journal*, Issue 03: *Remediating Distances*. In: ArtHist.net, Jun 14, 2020 (accessed Feb 2, 2026), <<https://arthist.net/archive/23240>>.